

Front

Appendix A

Doctoral thesis assessment and admission to the doctoral defence ceremony

Vote:

Doctoral Committee member [...title...] [...initials...] [...surname...] [...affiliation...]

Appointed by decision of the Doctorate Board to assess the PhD thesis entitled [...title of PhD thesis...]
by the PhD candidate [...full name of PhD candidate...]

Votes for/against admission to defend the assessed manuscript as a PhD thesis.

Explanation:

(Optional: Information regarding submission)

Email address faculty contact:

Email address supervisor:

Final submission date:

Submission

Please submit both sides of this completed form **no later than the aforementioned final submission date** by email to the faculty contact with a copy to the supervisor.

Criteria

In assessing the thesis manuscript, committee members should administer the requirements that are applicable in the relevant discipline. The following criteria play a role in this assessment. You are requested to separately consider each criterion against which the doctoral thesis is to be assessed.

Reverse

Doctoral thesis assessment and admission to the doctoral defence ceremony

	Excellent	Very good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1. Extent to which the research is innovative <i>Explanation:</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. The way in which the material has been organised, analysed and processed <i>Explanation:</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. The originality and creativity of the work <i>Explanation:</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. The soundness of the methodology used in the analysis <i>Explanation:</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. A critical approach to existing theories and concepts <i>Explanation:</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Balance in the structure of the thesis and clarity in style and wording <i>Explanation:</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. The extent to which the doctoral thesis has a (pioneering) impact on the field of study <i>Explanation:</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Optional: Assessment criterion/criteria prescribed by the faculty, namely: <i>Explanation:</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9. Do you believe that this doctoral thesis should qualify for the distinction 'cum laude'? If so, can you attest that the doctoral thesis is among the best 5% of all doctoral theses that you have read in the relevant field of study? <i>Explanation :</i>	Yes/No Yes/No				