Social Sciences Thesis Plagiarism Check Procedure

The obligatory checking of theses for plagiarism came into force on 1 October 2014. In accordance with article 17.5 of the 2020 Doctorate Regulations, the Dean is responsible for this check. The Dean of the Faculty of Social and Behavioural Sciences (FMG) has delegated this task to the Department Chairs.

Implementation of plagiarism checks
The Board of Social Sciences has decided to assign the practical implementation of the plagiarism checks to the Management Information Coordinator of the research institute (AISSR). Article 22.1 of the doctorate regulations states that the manuscript must be submitted for evaluation to the Doctorate Committee no later than 14 weeks before the intended date of the defence ceremony, but not before the conditions of article 17 (Finalising the thesis manuscript, the electronic version and the plagiarism check) have been met (among other conditions). The plagiarism check will therefore be carried out before the thesis approved by the PhD thesis supervisor(s) is submitted to the Doctorate Committee.

Procedure
The PhD thesis supervisor will submit the electronic version of the approved manuscript to the Department Chair no later than 16 weeks before the date of the defence ceremony. The Department Chair will forward this manuscript to the Management Information Coordinator of the AISSR.

The Management Information Coordinator will report the results of the plagiarism check to the Department Chair and the AISSR Academic Director no later than 15 weeks before the date of the defence ceremony. The Department Chair and the AISSR Academic Director will reach a decision concerning the submission of the definitive version of the manuscript to the Doctorate Committee and the University Library. The Department Chair and the AISSR Director will notify the PhD thesis supervisor(s) and the Management Information Coordinator of their decision.

Negative decision → see the separate proposal for the procedure to be implemented if plagiarism is detected
Positive decision → see the follow-up steps below.

The PhD thesis supervisor(s) will send the definitive version of the manuscript to the Doctorate Committee no later than 14 weeks before the date of the defence ceremony. The Management Information Coordinator will send the electronic version of the manuscript to the University Library.

## Plagiarism Procedure Social Sciences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11A</td>
<td>No later than 16 weeks before the doctoral defence date</td>
<td>Supervisor(s)</td>
<td>...sends, after approval, the digital version to...</td>
<td>Department Chair (CQ faculty contact)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Department Chair (CQ faculty contact)</td>
<td>...sends the electronic version approved by the supervisor(s) to...</td>
<td>AISSR Management Information Coordinator (<a href="mailto:N.Schulp@uva.nl">N.Schulp@uva.nl</a>)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Article 17.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>AISSR Management Information Coordinator</td>
<td>...checks the definitive version of the manuscript for plagiarism...</td>
<td></td>
<td>Plagiarism check report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No later than 15 weeks before the doctoral defence date</td>
<td>AISSR Management Information Coordinator</td>
<td>...sends the results of the plagiarism check to...</td>
<td>Department Chair and AISSR Director</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Department Chair and AISSR Director</td>
<td>...evaluate the results of the plagiarism check...</td>
<td></td>
<td>Definitive decision regarding the plagiarism check</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Department Chair and AISSR Director</td>
<td>...send the definitive decision regarding the plagiarism check to...</td>
<td>Supervisor and AISSR Management Information Coordinator</td>
<td></td>
<td>Decision to send the definitive version of the manuscript to the Doctorate Committee</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Roadmap

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11a</td>
<td>No later than 14 weeks before the doctoral defence date</td>
<td>Supervisor(s)</td>
<td>...sends, after approval, the digital version of the manuscript for plagiarism check to...</td>
<td>Dean (c.q. contact person faculty/institute/department)</td>
<td></td>
<td>11A NOT APPLICABLE; PLEASE SEE ABOVE FOR THE PLAGIARISM PROCEDURE BOARD OF SOCIAL SCIENCES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11b</td>
<td>No later than 14 weeks before the doctoral defence date</td>
<td>Supervisor(s)</td>
<td>...sends definitive thesis manuscript together with assessment form A to...</td>
<td>Doctorate Committee</td>
<td></td>
<td>Assessment form A Article 16.4, 19.7 and 22.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>No later than 4 weeks before the doctoral defence date</td>
<td>Doctoral candidate</td>
<td>...sends the final digital proof of the doctoral thesis to...</td>
<td>AISSR Management Information Coordinator (<a href="mailto:N.Schulp@uva.nl">N.Schulp@uva.nl</a>)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Procedure in the event plagiarism is detected

Definition
Plagiarism is the practice of completely or partially copying someone else's ideas without attributing them to their rightful owner (without complete and correct acknowledgement of sources) and passing them off as one's own.

Types of plagiarism
2
a. making use of or reproducing another person's texts, data or ideas without complete and correct source references;
b. presenting the structure or central body of ideas taken from third-party sources as one's own work or ideas, even if a reference to other authors is included;
c. failing to clearly indicate in the text - for instance by means of quotation marks or a particular layout - that literal or near-literal quotations have been included in the work, even if a correct reference to sources has been included;
d. paraphrasing the contents of another person's texts without sufficient reference to the sources;
e. reproducing another person's audio, visual, or test materials, software or programme codes without reference to the sources, and in doing so passing these off as one's own work;
f. submitting a thesis that has been written by someone else (whether or not in exchange for payment), including those acquired from a commercial institution.

Procedure
The Management Information Coordinator will report to the Department Chair and the AISSR Academic Director. If the results of the check give cause for further investigation, a meeting will first be scheduled at which the Management Information Coordinator will discuss the relevant documents with the Department Chair and the AISSR Academic Director. During the meeting, the Department Chair and AISSR Director will decide whether:

1) further investigation is required, or whether
2) the thesis can be submitted to the Doctorate Committee.

If further investigation is deemed necessary, the Department Chair and/or AISSR Academic Director will initiate an 'investigative meeting' with the PhD candidate and the PhD thesis supervisor(s)3. At this meeting, the results of the plagiarism check will be discussed and the PhD candidate will be given the opportunity to explain the detected similarities. On the basis of this discussion, the Department Chair and the AISSR Academic Director will determine:

1) whether the thesis can be submitted to the Doctorate Committee, or
2) whether a complaint will be submitted to the UvA Academic Integrity Committee (the Department Chair/AISSR Director will inform the Dean before submitting the complaint)

2 a to e copied from the Regulations Governing Fraud and Plagiarism for UvA Students, which can be found on the following website: [http://student.uva.nl/en/a-z/a-z/content/folder/plagiarism-and-fraud/plagiarism-and-fraud.html](http://student.uva.nl/en/a-z/a-z/content/folder/plagiarism-and-fraud/plagiarism-and-fraud.html)

3 If an 'investigative meeting' is deemed necessary this will lead to a delay for the defence
Follow-up steps in the event of a negative decision: submit a complaint to the Academic Integrity Committee. 

http://www.uva.nl/en/research/research-at-the-uva/academic-integrity/academic-integrity.html