## Social Sciences Thesis Plagiarism Check Procedure

The obligatory checking of theses for plagiarism came into force on 1 October 2014. In accordance with article 17.5 of the 2020 Doctorate Regulations<sup>1</sup>, the Dean is responsible for this check. The Dean of the Faculty of Social and Behavioural Sciences (FMG) has delegated this task to the Department Chairs.

### Implementation of plagiarism checks

The Board of Social Sciences has decided to assign the practical implementation of the plagiarism checks to the Secretary Academic Integrity of the research institute (AISSR). The secretary is the AISSR contact person for the plagiarism checks. Article 22.1 of the doctorate regulations states that the manuscript must be submitted for evaluation to the Doctorate Committee no later than 14 weeks before the intended date of the defence ceremony, but not before the conditions of article 17 (Finalising the thesis manuscript, the electronic version and the plagiarism check) have been met (among other conditions). The plagiarism check will therefore be carried out *before* the thesis approved by the PhD thesis supervisor(s) is submitted to the Doctorate Committee.

#### Procedure

The PhD thesis supervisor will submit the electronic version of the approved manuscript to the AISSR contact person no later than **16 weeks** before the date of the defence ceremony.

The AISSR contact person will report the results of the plagiarism check to the Department Chair and the AISSR Academic Director no later than **15 weeks** before the date of the defence ceremony. The Department Chair and the AISSR Academic Director will reach a decision concerning the submission of the definitive version of the manuscript to the Doctorate Committee and the University Library. The Department Chair and the AISSR Director will notify the PhD thesis supervisor(s) and the AISSR contact person of their decision.

Negative decision  $\rightarrow$  see the separate proposal for the procedure to be implemented if plagiarism is detected

Positive decision  $\rightarrow$  see the follow-up steps below.

The department secretariat will send the definitive version of the manuscript to the Doctorate Committee no later than **14 weeks** before the date of the defence ceremony. The AISSR contact person will send the electronic version of the manuscript to the University Library.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> <u>https://www.uva.nl/en/research/phd/documents-and-forms/documents-and-forms.html</u>

Schematic representation of the Social Sciences Plagiarism Procedure in the 2020 Regulations Roadmap (replacing 11A and adjustment 20)

Dime	nution Duran data	Control Colores	-				
-	iarism Procedure					Board of Social Sciences	
۱r.	When?	Who?	What?	Where?	Result?	How/why?	
	No later than 16 weeks before the doctoral defence date	Supervisor(s)	sends, after approval, the digital version to	AISSR Contact Person, m.e.b.rademaker@uva.nl			Please note: contact tl AISSR contact person
		AISSR Contact Person	checks the definitive version of the manuscript for plagiarism		Plagiarism check report		through m.e.b.rademaker@uv
	No later than 15 weeks before the doctoral defence date	e AISSR Contact Person	sends the results of the plagiarism check to	Department Chair and AISS Director	R	Article 17.5	
		Department Chair and AISSR Director	evaluate the results of the plagiarism check		Definitive decision regarding the plagiarism check		
		Department Chair and AISSR Director	send the definitive decision regarding the plagiarism check to	Supervisor and AISSR Conta Person	ct Decision to send the definitive version of the manuscript to the Doctorate Committee		
Road	lmap				2020	ODoctorate Regulations	
Nr.	When?	Who?	What?	Where?	Result?	How/why?	
<b>11</b> a	No later than 14		sends, after approval,	Dean (c.g. contact		Article 17.4	
	weeks before the doctoral defence	11A NOT APPLICABLE; PLEASE SEE ABOVE FOR THE PLAGIARISM PROCEDURE FOR THE SOCIAL SCIENCES					
	date		check to	department)			
11b	No later than 14 weeks before the doctoral defence date	Department secretariat	sends definitive thesis manuscript together with assessment form A to	Doctorate Committee		Assessment form A Article 16.4, 19.7 and 22.1	
12	No later than 6 weeks after the manuscript was	Dean (c.q. contact 2 NOT APPLICABLE; PL	an (c.q. contact Result of plagiarism check the definitive If all assessments are T APPLICABLE; PLEASE SEE ABOVE FOR THE PLAGIARISM PROCEDURE FOR THE SOCIAL SCIENCES			Article 17.5 and 17.6	
	sent to the Doctorate Committee	/department	plagiarism and informs		Otherwise: tollow-up under Dean's responsibility		
20	No later than 4 weeks before the doctoral defence date	Doctoral candidate	sends the final digital proof of the doctoral thesis to	AISSR contact person	Checks thesis for completeness and checks permitted changes, sends to University Library		

### Procedure in the event plagiarism is detected

## Definition

Plagiarism is the practice of completely or partially copying someone else's ideas without attributing them to their rightful owner (without complete and correct acknowledgement of sources) and passing them off as one's own.

# *Types of plagiarism*<sup>2</sup>

a. making use of or reproducing another person's texts, data or ideas without complete and correct source references;

b. presenting the structure or central body of ideas taken from third-party sources as one's own work or ideas, even if a reference to other authors is included;

c. failing to clearly indicate in the text - for instance by means of quotation marks or a particular layout - that literal or near-literal quotations have been included in the work, even if a correct reference to sources has been included;

d. paraphrasing the contents of another person's texts without sufficient reference to the sources; e. reproducing another person's audio, visual, or test materials, software or programme codes without reference to the sources, and in doing so passing these off as one's own work;

f. submitting a thesis that has been written by someone else (whether or not in exchange for payment), including those acquired from a commercial institution.

## Procedure

The AISSR contact person will report to the Department Chair and the AISSR Academic Director. If the results of the check give cause for further investigation, a meeting will first be scheduled at which the AISSR contact person will discuss the relevant documents with the Department Chair and the AISSR Academic Director. During the meeting, the Department Chair and AISSR Director will decide whether:

- 1) further investigation is required, or whether
- 2) the thesis can be submitted to the Doctorate Committee.


If further investigation is deemed necessary, the Department Chair and/or AISSR Academic Director will initiate an 'investigative meeting' with the PhD candidate and the PhD thesis supervisor(s)<sup>3</sup>. At this meeting, the results of the plagiarism check will be discussed and the PhD candidate will be given the opportunity to explain the detected similarities. On the basis of this discussion, the Department Chair and the AISSR Academic Director will determine:

- 1) whether the thesis can be submitted to the Doctorate Committee, or
- 2) whether a complaint will be submitted to the UvA Academic Integrity Committee (the Department Chair/AISSR Director will inform the Dean before submitting the complaint)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> a to e copied from the *Regulations Governing Fraud and Plagiarism for UvA Students*, which can be found on the following website: https://www.uva.nl/binaries/content/assets/uva/en/about-the-uva/policy-and-regulations/teaching/regelingen/fraude-en-plagiaat-regeling-2019-eng-opgemaakt-c.pdf

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> If an 'investigative meeting' is deemed necessary this will lead to a delay for the defence

Follow-up steps in the event of a negative decision: submit a complaint to the Academic Integrity Committee<sup>4</sup>



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> <u>http://www.uva.nl/en/research/research-at-the-uva/academic-integrity/academic-integrity.html</u>